PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

<u> </u>						
Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416					
41362	International filing date (day/month/yea	r) Priority date (day/month/year)				
International application No.	21.06.2004	19.06.2003				
PCT/F12004/000375		19.06.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) o A61K 38/48, A61K 38/0		29/00 A61D 35/02				
A61K 38/48, A61K 38/0	2, C12N 9/50 // A01P	29/00, A01F 33/02				
Applicant						
CTT Cancer Targeting	Technologies Oy et al	<u>. </u>				
This report is the international pre- Authority under Article 35 and tr	eliminary examination report, established ansmitted to the applicant according to A	by this International Preliminary Examining rticle 36.				
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of _7 sheets, including this	cover sheet.				
3. This report is also accompanied b	y ANNEXES, comprising:					
a. (sent to the applicant	and to the International Bureau) a total (of sheets, as follows:				
		h have been amended and are the basis of this report				
and/or sheets	containing rectifications authorized by the	nis Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the				
•	ve Instructions).	with arrive considers contain an amondment that goes				
sheets which beyond the di	supersede earner sneets, but which this A isclosure in the international application a	Authority considers contain an amendment that goes as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the				
Supplementa		·				
b. (sent to the Internation	onal Bureau only) a total of (indicate type	and number of electronic carrier(s))				
	, containing a sequence l	isting and/or tables related thereto, in electronic				
	ed in the Supplemental Box Relating to S	equence Listing (see Section 802 of the				
Administrative Instru						
4. This report contains indications re						
	of the report					
Box No. II Priority	•					
Box No. III Non-es	stablishment of opinion with regard to nov	velty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
Box No. IV Lack o	f unity of invention					
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1	documents cited					
Box No. VII Certain	defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII Certain	observations on the international applica	ation				
D . C 1	Data of same	letion of this report				
Date of submission of the demand	Date of comp	renon or mis report				
1.0 04 0005	******					
18.04.2005	20.09.2					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/S Patent- och registreringsverket		rncer				
Вож 5055						
S-102 42 STOCKHOLM		Yvonne Siösteen/EÖ				

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (April 2005)

International application No.

PCT/FI2004/000375

Box No	o. I Basis of the report	
1. W	ith regard to the language, this report is based on:	
	the international application in the language in which it was filed	
	a translation of the international application into which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))	
	publication of the international application (Rule 12.4(a))	
	international preliminary examination (Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))	
fu	ith regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replanded to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to an are not annexed to this report):	acement sheets which have been in this report as "originally filed"
	the international application as originally filed/furnished	•
	the description:	
	pages	as originally filed/furnished
	pages* received by this Authority on	<u> </u>
	pages* received by this Authority on	
	the claims:	
	pages	as originally filed/furnished
		n any statement) under Article 19
	* ** ** **	
_		
L	the drawings:	as originally filed/furnished
	pages received by this Authority on	as originally mediturnished
	pages* received by this Authority on pages* received by this Authority on	
Г	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Seque	nce Listing
L	a sequence fishing and/or any related table(s) — see Supplemental Box relating to seque	Moo Disting.
3. [The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
	the description, pages	·
	the claims, Nos.	
	the drawings, sheets/figs	······································
	the sequence listing (specify):	
	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	<u>***</u>
4. [This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this repmade, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indication 70.2(c)).	ort and listed below had not been ted in the Supplemental Box (Rule
	the description, pages	
	the claims, Nos.	
	the drawings, sheets/figs	
	the sequence listing (specify):	· <u> </u>
	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
* I	fitem 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."	

International application No.

PCT/FI2004/000375

This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the prescribed time limit the requested: copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(s)). translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found mixed (Rule 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. 3. Additional observations, if necessary: The priority is considered valid, hence document STN International, File CAPLUS, CAPLUS accession no. 2003:684969, Document no.139:303787 Stefanidakis et al. is of no relevance for this report.	Box No. II	Priority
translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(b)). This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rule 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. Additional observations, if necessary: The priority is considered valid, hence document STN International, File CAPLUS, CAPLUS accession no. 2003:684969, Document no.139:303787 Stefanidakis et al. is of no relevance	1. This limit	report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the prescribed time the requested:
 This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rule 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. Additional observations, if necessary: The priority is considered valid, hence document STN International, File CAPLUS, CAPLUS accession no. 2003:684969, Document no.139:303787 Stefanidakis et al. is of no relevance 		copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(a)).
 invalid (Rule 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date. Additional observations, if necessary: The priority is considered valid, hence document STN International, File CAPLUS, CAPLUS accession no. 2003:684969, Document no.139:303787 Stefanidakis et al. is of no relevance 		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(b)).
The priority is considered valid, hence document STN International, File CAPLUS, CAPLUS accession no. 2003:684969, Document no.139:303787 Stefanidakis et al. is of no relevance	inval	lid (Rule 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the
International, File CAPLUS, CAPLUS accession no. 2003:684969, Document no.139:303787 Stefanidakis et al. is of no relevance	3. Additional	observations, if necessary:
	Intern Docume	ational, File CAPLUS, CAPLUS accession no. 2003:684969, at no.139:303787 Stefanidakis et al. is of no relevance
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

International application No.

PCT/FI2004/000375

Box No.	III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The ques	stions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially alle have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application
\boxtimes	claims Nos. 9-13
becau	use:
\boxtimes	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 9-13 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
See	PCT Rule 67.1.(iv).: Methods for treatment of the human or
	imal body by surgery or therapy, as well as diagnostic
met	chods.
<u> </u>	
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nosare so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	are so unlessa that no meaningfal opinion could be so to an a second could be so to a second could be second c
	·
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit: furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit: furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit: furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit: furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit: furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2. a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Preliminary
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit: furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2. a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in

International application No.

PCT/FI2004/000375

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement	t				
Nove	elty (N)	Claims			YES
		Claims	1-8		_ NO
Inver	ntive step (IS)	Claims			YES
		Claims	1-8		_ NO
Indus	strial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-8		YES
		Claims			_ ио
				ty, t	
		· - · · · - · - · - · - · - · - · - · - 			

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

The claimed invention relates to a pharmaceutical compound comprising the tetrapeptide motif D/E-D/E-G/K-W and its use for treating leukaemia and inflammation.

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 97/08203 D2: US20030022835

D3: STN database, Registry file, Registry number 359735-04-9, WO2001064886

D1 discloses the cyclic RGD-binding polypeptide which comprises the motif tetrapeptide CWDDGWLC comprising DDGW. A lot of different diseases which can be treated including inflammation (see page 25, line 17).

D2 discloses a polypeptide comprising DDGW and which can be used for treating inflammatory conditions (see claim 16).

Claims 1-2 and 6-8 lack novelty.

D3 discloses a polypeptide comprising the tetrapeptide DDGW which is used for treating human leukemias (see abstract and SEQ ID NO:1351).

Claims 3-5 lack novelty.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that the mere explanation of an effect obtained when using a compound in a

.../...

International application No.

PCT/FI2004/000375

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box V

known process, even if the explanation relates to a pharmaceutical effect which was not known for that compound, cannot confer novelty to said process or compound. In the present case, the newly discovered technical effect, that a compound comprising the tetrapeptide DDGW mediates binding between an MMP and $\beta 2$ integrin, and proMMP-9 gelatinase and therefore has an effect on neutrophil migration and leukocyte migration, does not confer novelty on claims 4 and 6 directed to the use of a known compound for a known purpose (treatment of inflammation and leukaemia)) (see also box VIII).

International application No.

PCT/FI2004/000375

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

The concept of a second or further medical indication can only be applied to claims to the use of a substance or composition for the preparation of a medicament intended for a particular illness or disease. In the present case claims 3 and 6 are not construed as specifying a particular method of treatment or therapeutic application as the expressions "conditions dependent on leukocyte migration" and "conditions dependent on neutrophil migration" do not constitute any specified illness.

Claim 1 and claims dependent on claim 1 are not supported by the description as required by Article 6 PCT, as their scope is broader than justified by the description and drawings. The reasons therefor are the following: the tetrapeptide D/E-D/E-G/K-W relate to an extremely large number of possible tetrapeptides. The claims therefore contain so many options that a lack of clarity and conciseness within the meaning of Article 6 PCT arises.

The breath of the claims should be such that it represents a reasonable generalisation of the examples provided, and such that it is credible that every peptide falling within the scope actually provides a solution to the problem underlying the invention.

Support within the meaning of Article 6 PCT and disclosure within the meaning of Article 5 PCT are to be found only for the tetrapeptide DDGW.